

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/701,235	11/04/2003	Robert A. West	03-987	5256		
20306 7590 08/03/2007 MCDONNELL BOEHNEN HULBERT & BERGHOFF LLP 300 S. WACKER DRIVE 32ND FLOOR CHICAGO, IL 60606			EXAM	EXAMINER		
			BARTLEY, KENNETH			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		3693				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
	·		08/03/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

_	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/701,235	WEST, ROBERT A.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Kenneth L. Bartley	3693		

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) Kenneth L. Bartley (Examiner).	(3) <u>Monika Dudek (Applica</u>	nt's Rep.)				
(2) Jagdish Patel (Primary Examiner).	(4)					
Date of Interview: 26 July 2007.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)⊡ applicant's representative	e]	·			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.					
Claim(s) discussed: 1.						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>U.S. Patent 5,339,392 and U.S. Patent 7,146,615</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)☐ was not reached. h)⊠ N	I/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant did not believe 2nd prior art met claim elements (see below for more detail)</u> .						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						

JAMES A. KRAMER

\$UPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

- 1. The Applicant's representative (Monika Dudek) explained the invention.
- 2. Claim 1 was discussed with regard to the prior art rejections. In particular, Applicant did not believe 2nd prior art used for 35 U.S.C. 103 rejection, U.S. 7,146,615, provided event triggers with a different arrangement of a plurality of windows.
- 3. The Applicant will file a respone to the final rejection and Examiner will review the prior art used in the final Office Action and respond appropriately.